

THE BRITISH MASS SPECTROMETRY SOCIETY

**Delivering World-Class Science  
with British Mass Spectrometry...  
Looking to the Future!**

**SWOT ANALYSIS**

2020

*The World's First Mass Spectrometry Society!*

**BMS**  
BRITISH MASS SPECTROMETRY SOCIETY

THE BRITISH MASS SPECTROMETRY SOCIETY

# SWOT ANALYSIS

Strengths Weaknesses Opportunities Threats

**Dr Ashley Sage**

Sciex - BMSS Chair

**Dr Jackie Mosely**

Teesside University - BMSS Vice Chair

**Professor Peter O'Connor**

University of Warwick - BMSS Treasurer

**Professor Gavin O'Connor**

TU Braunschweig un PTB - BMSS Immediate Past Chair

**Dr Tony Bristow**

AstraZeneca - BMSS Past Chair

2020

*The Worlds First Mass Spectrometry Society!*

**BMSS**  
BRITISH MASS SPECTROMETRY SOCIETY

THE BRITISH MASS SPECTROMETRY SOCIETY

# SWOT ANALYSIS

Strengths Weaknesses Opportunities Threats

The British Mass Spectrometry Society's Executive Committee commissioned the pathway report '**Delivering World-Class Science with British Mass Spectrometry ...Looking to the Future!**' in May 2019. The objective was to produce a community-backed, evidence-based vision for the future of Mass Spectrometry in the UK to support EPSRC, UKRI, and HMG in the strategic planning, prioritization, and funding of the science of Mass Spectrometry in the foreseeable future. This project was designed as a three stage DELPHI<sup>1</sup> study with the BMSS membership and the UK Mass Spectrometry community at large together with inputs from international colleagues.

The responses from the first & second rounds of the DELPHI community wide consultation were summarized as a SWOT analysis. The British Mass Spectrometry Society's members were invited to review the attached slides at their 40th Annual Meeting in September 2019. The SWOT analysis presented at BMSS40, Manchester 2019, is reproduced below.

2020

*The World's First Mass Spectrometry Society!*

**BMSS**  
BRITISH MASS SPECTROMETRY SOCIETY

# Tier 0: Portable, robust, automated devices for non-experts

↓↓↓ **HELPFUL** ↓↓↓

↓↓↓ **HARMFUL** ↓↓↓

↑↑↑  
↑↑↑  
**INTERNAL**  
↑↑↑  
↑↑↑

↑↑↑  
↑↑↑  
**EXTERNAL**  
↑↑↑  
↑↑↑

Strengths		Weaknesses	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Emerging area with direct, non-academic, impact</li> <li>Simple to operate, accessible, low cost, share</li> <li>Quick measurements, local to the problem at hand</li> <li>Large, rapid growth potential</li> <li>Good data in the hands of non-experts, amplifying impact</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Poor data interpretation tools, limited to PCA, SRM, or pattern recognition approaches</li> <li>Low performance (accuracy, resolution, separation, sensitivity, etc.) leading to limited capabilities</li> <li>High false positive rates</li> <li>Power usage</li> </ul>	
Opportunities		Threats	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High demand in SME's</li> <li>Great opportunity for small MRM-triple quads</li> <li>Instrument development opportunities to improve performance and lower cost</li> <li>Local measurements at the point of the problem, whether in a reaction line, ports, or in the field.</li> <li>Good education tools</li> <li>Data analytics opportunities</li> <li>More applications = more users.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data analytics are not ready</li> <li>Education is not there, need to overcome the 'MS is hard' bias in the scientific community</li> <li>Method development is always application specific and contaminants vary with the environmental matrix.</li> <li>Such instruments must be pushbutton, and rugged.</li> <li>Big danger of misinterpretation of data, false positives and over interpretation.</li> <li>Entry level costs and maintenance costs could be very high.</li> <li>Will need cloud storage and data analytics in many cases.</li> </ul>	

# Tier 1: Single group equipment

↓↓↓ **HELPFUL** ↓↓↓

↓↓↓ **HARMFUL** ↓↓↓

INTERNAL  
EXTERNAL

Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good focus and expertise, unique capabilities</li> <li>• Excellent training to students and collaborators</li> <li>• Hands-on education</li> <li>• Can focus on their own research over any other</li> <li>• Maximal uptake because the owners are personally invested in the equipment</li> <li>• Future employability of the staff, because they are experts</li> <li>• Skills, over time, will expand in to the economy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Variable, often low, access for collaboration</li> <li>• Ivory tower, by design</li> <li>• Difficult to fund upgrades and maintenance</li> <li>• Usually cannot afford service contracts – leading to decline of instrument functionality</li> <li>• Sometimes PR purchases rather than an actual demonstrated need - sometime chasing ‘funding fads’</li> <li>• Without sufficient local expertise, the instrument will be underutilised.</li> <li>• Potentially lack of exposure and impact.</li> <li>• Lack of continuity in expertise because of student and PDRA departures.</li> <li>• Disconnect between funding the instrument and funding the usage/maintenance/sustainability of the instrument.</li> </ul>
Opportunities	Threats
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Can open up new areas of non-academic impact</li> <li>• Close, high quality collaborations can improve impact</li> <li>• Raising the profile of new techniques</li> <li>• Group reputation and enthusiasm will grow and expand usage</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sustainability of equipment, hardware, software, and expertise</li> <li>• Limited Bandwidth</li> <li>• Limited upgradeability, given instrument obsolescence</li> <li>• Instruments last longer than operating systems requiring backwards compatibility or data system upgrades</li> <li>• Enforced upgrades are often impossible because of firewalls</li> <li>• Sustainable funding of maintenance is difficult</li> <li>• Financial management is varied among institutions, and usually unsuited to fully sustain instruments.</li> </ul>

# Tier 2: Local/Regional Mass Spectrometry Facilities

Defined as multiple instruments and multiple staff to help others to do mass spectrometry experiments. Broader user base than a single group instrument.

↓↓↓ **HELPFUL** ↓↓↓

↓↓↓ **HARMFUL** ↓↓↓

INTERNAL

EXTERNAL

Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Supports science broadly - demonstratedly</li> <li>• Spreads maintenance and service costs among all users and equipment</li> <li>• Shares equipment</li> <li>• Dedicated staff to maintain instruments and train users</li> <li>• Introduce new users to the techniques (education)</li> <li>• Gateway between the mass spectrometry and broader scientific disciplines</li> <li>• Local expertise and capacity</li> <li>• Backup for Tier 1, troubleshooting expertise</li> <li>• A variety of techniques and approaches</li> <li>• Able to triage projects to best utilise the right tools to answer the questions</li> <li>• Bridge to 'national facilities'</li> <li>• Can deliver results close to the instrument performance limits</li> <li>• Local access for local experiments and short-lived intermediates</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Managing access. Sometimes high demand results in conflicting priorities</li> <li>• Charging models sometimes are unsustainable – requiring institutional subsidies</li> <li>• Varying charging models between institutions results in a race to the bottom on costs – resulting in unsustainable equipment and capabilities.</li> <li>• PhD student access is usually unfunded because of insufficient student supplies budgets</li> <li>• Cultural resistance to training users – i.e. training your future competitors</li> <li>• Sample carryover and contamination problems – particularly with open-access</li> <li>• Training of users is expensive in time, but poorly trained users cause a lot of contamination and downtime problems.</li> <li>• Underutilisation of equipment due to insufficient staffing (per instrument)</li> <li>• Research staff are often not supported for career development</li> <li>• Need sufficient staff to job-share and rotate if staff are off or need to travel.</li> </ul>
Opportunities	Threats
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Professionalization of Research staff is an opportunity.</li> <li>• A good facility can grow their 'region' and 'capabilities'</li> <li>• Experienced voice within mass spectrometry at the national stage</li> <li>• Opportunities for collaboration between research for better equipment sharing and networking.</li> <li>• Training courses, with hands-on training on commercial equipment</li> <li>• First port-of-call for industry and SMEs for access to MS</li> <li>• Direct local/regional industrial impact.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Funding models vary and are often insufficient to ensure full instrument sustainability.</li> <li>• A change of institutional leadership can change funding models and funding priorities.</li> <li>• Financial management, bookkeeping, and admin</li> <li>• Staff turnover or staff stagnation</li> <li>• Cherry picking the easiest/lucrative samples, rather than doing the best science</li> <li>• Success could raise competitors for the same income streams.</li> <li>• Less 'knowledge' deliver rather than 'data' dumping. It's easy to give people spectra without explaining what they mean.</li> <li>• Data can be misused or misunderstood.</li> <li>• Data flood and management is a problem, as is data security</li> <li>• Slow turnaround from institutional contracts office = lost business, T&amp;C's vary.</li> <li>• Poor data quality control means loss of business</li> <li>• Demand for accredited data quality changes the costing model, drastically.</li> </ul>

# Tier 3: Specialist centres or resources

Defined as nationally accessible facility with particular focus in one type of mass spectrometry capability.

↓↓↓ **HELPFUL** ↓↓↓

↓↓↓ **HARMFUL** ↓↓↓

↑↑↑  
↑↑↑  
**INTERNAL**  
↑↑↑  
↑↑↑  
↑↑↑  
↑↑↑  
**EXTERNAL**  
↑↑↑  
↑↑↑

Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Specialists in their area – international experts.</li> <li>• Can bring experts together to tackle bigger topics – Networking hubs.</li> <li>• Can be trusted to produce the best quality data</li> <li>• Aware of the changing technology and can keep abreast of it.</li> <li>• Equipment sharing</li> <li>• Focus at the appropriate degrees of specialisation</li> <li>• Could interweave with and enhance the capabilities of local facilities</li> <li>• Training hub for MSc and PhD and training port of call for industry</li> <li>• Knowledge transfer to industry and new intellectual property</li> <li>• Pushing boundaries in their specialisation area – development of new techniques.</li> <li>• Flexible funding, long term for the UKRI</li> <li>• Not a single point of failure</li> <li>• Grow mass spectrometry reach both nationally and abroad</li> <li>• Can be used to enhance regional industry, and can be adapted to the catalyst model.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Competition among National Centres of Excellence (NCoE) with overlapping areas of expertise</li> <li>• Potential duplication of effort</li> <li>• No one central voice for mass spectrometry</li> <li>• Academic vs. Facilities service mode conflicts of interest and access models.</li> <li>• Potentially opaque access models – often a perception rather than the reality</li> <li>• “Sour grapes” problems in feedback amongst mass spectrometry peers and reviewers</li> <li>• Sustainable funding models</li> <li>• Financial management and Admin support</li> <li>• Changing Institutional financial support strategies and priorities.</li> <li>• Potential to stifle development of other, competing groups in the area</li> <li>• Difficult to find reviewers that are unbiased</li> <li>• NCoE’s need a balance between local expertise development and broad scientific usage.</li> </ul>
Opportunities	Threats
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Maximise knowledge base and education in the highest levels of expertise</li> <li>• World leading science</li> <li>• Maximise excellence and impact</li> <li>• Versatile and adaptable</li> <li>• New technology development, new IP</li> <li>• Go-to place for experts</li> <li>• Excellent specialist training</li> <li>• Work with manufacturers and industry to develop new tools and applications</li> <li>• International exposure and public profile for higher impact</li> <li>• Collaborations cross-disciplinary and international opportunities</li> <li>• Remote access to expensive equipment</li> <li>• Proof of principle data generation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A temptation to focus on the ‘low hanging fruit’ easy/lucrative samples rather than the best science.</li> <li>• Metrics appropriate to generation of high quality results rather than throughput (quality over quantity)</li> <li>• Short term and inadequate funding models. Short-termism in funding. Usually instruments are funded, but personnel, maintenance, and supplies are only funded for a few years, after which the instrument sits underutilised or decaying.</li> <li>• Brain drain of expertise to other places, but a healthy turnover is needed to prevent stagnation of staff. Manufacturers hire staff away.</li> <li>• Lack of pipeline for development of good staff, students, apprenticeships, PDRAs, etc.</li> <li>• Resistance to this model because it threatens access to equipment locally.</li> <li>• Changes in government and institutional funding priorities.</li> <li>• Lack of data processing tools for users. Normally, the data processing tools provided by manufacturers are severely overpriced outside of the core lab.</li> <li>• Drift away from the forefront of the field.</li> <li>• Key staff losses and lack of succession planning.</li> <li>• Staff stagnation</li> </ul>

# Tier 4: A single national mass spectrometry facility

Defined as THE experts in all aspects of mass spectrometry.

↓↓↓ **HELPFUL** ↓↓↓

↓↓↓ **HARMFUL** ↓↓↓

INTERNAL  
↑↑↑  
↑↑↑  
↑↑↑  
↑↑↑

EXTERNAL  
↑↑↑  
↑↑↑  
↑↑↑  
↑↑↑

Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A beacon for UK mass spectrometry to raise the profile nationally and internationally</li> <li>• Can push the boundaries in applications, technologies, methods, commercial engagement, vision, leadership.</li> <li>• Exposure of mass spectrometry to the wider science audience, and beyond science.</li> <li>• Expert commentators for government, etc.</li> <li>• Accreditation for training and CPD</li> <li>• Great (international) PR</li> <li>• A testbed for new technology</li> <li>• A networking hub for mass spectrometry</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Needs major continuous funding to get to and sustain this facility at a world-leading level. Needs a funding timescale of 20 years or more.</li> <li>• Science needs to travel to a fixed location, preferential access for locals</li> <li>• Diverse and large number of world-recognised experts needed to cover all topics and techniques</li> <li>• Management needs to be versatile and evolving and solid over 20 years</li> <li>• Constant demand for reports from UKRI and others – without adequate administrative support.</li> <li>• Undermines mass spectrometry elsewhere in the UK and virtually wipes out tier 1 and 2.</li> <li>• Can be restrictive of diversity of research</li> <li>• Competes with other MS groups.</li> <li>• Tricky charging models, with many potential difficulties and significant administrative burden.</li> <li>• Reduced opportunities for MS research in the UK.</li> </ul>
Opportunities	Threats
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Center for networking and training and accreditation</li> <li>• Can influence hardware and software development worldwide</li> <li>• Can influence curriculum and provide hands-on training</li> <li>• Hub for teachers</li> <li>• A nucleus of start-up opportunities for SMEs</li> <li>• Decrease of duplication of teaching/training</li> <li>• Can promote and provide cloud-based data storage and analysis tools.</li> <li>• Excellent equipment sharing.</li> <li>• Equipment can be fully sustainable – with adequate financial support.</li> <li>• Can have local, regional, and national economic impact</li> <li>• Can lead outreach activities</li> <li>• Great potential for attracting industrial funding</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No support from the UK MS community as it's a threat to their own continued funding.</li> <li>• Could lose direction and momentum without lots of marketing and outreach to the broader science community</li> <li>• Undercutting by other facilities</li> <li>• Affordable and sustainable financial model, researchers are not accustomed to paying full sustainable access charges for mass spectrometry after decades of 'free at the point of use' service and institutional subsidisation of mass spectrometry services.</li> <li>• Will almost certainly lose expertise in some areas. There will be 'gaps' in the provision of capabilities.</li> <li>• Co-funding of equipment and other costs is easier for internal, institutional facilities rather than one which is nationally outward facing.</li> <li>• Single point of failure – catastrophic events.</li> <li>• A 'jack of all trades' is a master of none.</li> <li>• Thinking too small.</li> </ul>

# THE BRITISH MASS SPECTROMETRY SOCIETY

## CORRESPONDING AUTHORS

**Professor Peter O'Connor:**

p.oconnor@warwick.ac.uk

**Dr Jackie Mosely:**

j.mosely@tees.ac.uk

## THE BMSS

The British Mass Spectrometry Society is a UK registered charity, founded in 1964. The BMSS strives to encourage participation in all aspects of mass spectrometry on the widest basis, to promote knowledge and advancement in the field and to provide a forum for the exchange of views and information. The BMSS is committed to ensuring equal opportunities and reflecting the diversity of UK society as a whole.

**UK CHARITY NUMBER 281330**

## BMSS ADMINISTRATOR

**Mrs Lisa Sage:**

+44 (0) 1606 810 562

admin@BMSS.org.uk

## REGISTERED OFFICE

British Mass Spectrometry Society,  
25 Burwardsley Way, Northwich,  
Cheshire, CW9 8WN, UK.

© 2020 The British Mass Spectrometry Society.

## Reference 1:

TheDELPHI method as a research tool: an example, design considerations and applications

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0378720603001794>

**www • bmss • org • uk**

*The Worlds First Mass Spectrometry Society!*

**BMSS**  
BRITISH MASS SPECTROMETRY SOCIETY